

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/615,342	07/12/2000	Richard Parisi	RP-1R	3294
75	590 09/12/2002			
Michael I Kroll			EXAMINER	
171 Stillwell Lane Syosset, NY 11791			DANG, HUNG XUAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/615,342**

Applicant(s)

Parisis

Examiner

Hung X. Dang

Art Unit 2873



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
	for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extens	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
- If the p	g date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.					
	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37.CFR 1.704(b).					
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>Jul 12, 2000</i>	·				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) X This action is non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consid	eration.				
5) 🗀	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.					
7) 🗆	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	^				
8) 🗌	Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requ	irement.				
Applica	ntion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	٠.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the	Examiner.				
•	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	,				
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.	_ •				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	•				
14)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	•				
a) L						
15)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachm	and in the contract of the con					
$\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Stice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:						
"" ت	of Other					

Art Unit: 2515

No Offer To Surrender Original Patent

1. This reissue application was filed without an offer to surrender the original patent or, if the original is lost or inaccessible, an affidavit or declaration to that effect which is required. The original patent, or an affidavit or declaration as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be received before the reissue application can be allowed. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.178.

Original Patent Required Prior To Allowance

2. The original patent, or an affidavit or declaration as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. See 37 CFR 1.178.

Consent Of Assignee To Reissue Lacking

3. This application is objected to under 37 CFR 1.172(a) as lacking the written consent of all assignees owning an undivided interest in the patent. The consent of the assignee must be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.172. See MPEP § 1410.01.

A proper assent of the assignee in compliance with 37 CFR 1.172 and 3.73 (b) is required in reply to this Office action.

Art Unit: 2515

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant is requested to cite all the references are listed in the original patent on form PTO-1449.

Defective Reissue Oath/Declaration, 37 CFR 1.175

5. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective (see 37 CFR 1.175 and MPEP § 1414) because of the following:

The reissue Declaration of record lacks the statement required by 37 CFR 1.175 (a) (2), and thus must particularly specify the excess or insufficiency of each amended or added claim vis-a-vis the original patent claims. The Declaration fails to identify how each newly added claim differs from the original patent claim to which it corresponds.

Supplemental Declaration Required Prior To Allowance

6. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1), a supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) must be received before this reissue application can be allowed.

Claims 1-17 are rejected as being based upon a defective Declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251. See 37 CFR 1.175. The nature of the defect is set forth above.

Art Unit: 2515

Receipt of an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) will overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251. An example of acceptable language to be used in the supplemental oath/declaration is as follows:

"Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and is not covered by a prior oath/declaration submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant."

Claims Rejection Under 35 USC - 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by **Boles** (2,955,382).

Boles discloses toy holder for nursing bottle which comprises means for releasably gripping the bottle, an elongated member (body of toy) connected to and extending from the gripping means; and a visually stimulating ornament (head of the toy) connected to and end of the elongated member opposite the gripping means and held at a distance

Art Unit: 2515

from eyes of an infant while feeding from the bottle by the elongated member whereby the visually stimulating ornament is visible to the infant.

Claims Rejection Under 35 USC - 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Boles** (2,955,382).

Boles discloses toy holder for nursing bottle which comprises means for releasably gripping the bottle, an elongated member (body of toy) connected to and extending from the gripping means; and a visually stimulating ornament (head of the toy) connected to and end of the elongated member opposite the gripping means and held at a distance

Serial Number: 09/615,342 -Å-

Art Unit: 2515

from eyes of an infant while feeding from the bottle by the elongated member whereby the visually stimulating ornament is visible to the infant.

Although the Boles device does not teach the exact shape of the ornament as that claimed by Applicant, the shape differences are considered obvious design choices and are not patentable unless unobvious or unexpected results are obtained from these changes. It appears that these changes produce no functional differences and therefore would have been obvious.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Dang at telephone number (703) 308-0550.

8/02

HUNG DANG

PRIMARY EXAMINER

TC 2800